

**TABLE 9: Details on Transfer Payments Programs (TPPs) for the
Department of Canadian Heritage**

PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND COMMUNICATIONS SECTOR

All these transfer payments programs are voted, which means that each year the Parliament of Canada votes annual Appropriation Act or Acts to grant expenditure authority to the Crown for the departments and agencies. This spending authorization lapses at year-end.

1) Name of Transfer Payment Program: Celebration, Commemoration and Learning Program	
2) Start Date: 2003-04	3) End Date: 2006-07
4) Description: The purpose of the Celebration, Commemoration and Learning Program is to ensure an integrated and dynamic federal approach to national celebrations and commemorations. Its objectives are to create opportunities for Canadians to connect in celebrating their history, their diversity and their achievements, and strengthen their sense of belonging to Canada as well as learn about and celebrate the significant people, places, symbols, anniversaries and events that have marked Canada's development and that are integral to Canadian life and values	
5) Strategic Outcome(s): Canadians live in an inclusive society built on intercultural understanding and citizen participation	
6) Results Achieved:	
<p>Canadians were provided a greater number of opportunities to celebrate and commemorate Canada, its heritage, its citizens and their stories, and to take part in key events, including those marking the 100th anniversary of the entry of Alberta and Saskatchewan into Confederation, and the Royal Visit to Canada in 2005. The 10-day Celebrate Canada national celebrations raised awareness among Canadians about the various activities in which they can take part to celebrate Canada and its communities. During the celebrations, numerous successful events brought media attention and demand for Canadian promotional material.</p> <p>Important historic events were commemorated, including the 60th anniversary of the end of the Second World War, through a partnership with Veterans Affairs Canada and the Historica Foundation (a not for profit organization) to produce eight new historical vignettes featuring various events and people from Canada's proud military history. They also provided information to Canadians to assist them in gaining a better understanding of their country as well as of the key events, history, values, and interests that they share. Other celebration initiatives such as the 2006 edition of the Canada Day Poster Challenge themed "Images of Canada" which saw 7 500 Canadian students participate in 2006, helped develop a greater sense of shared history and citizenship and a stronger sense of pride in, and of belonging, to their country.</p>	

Million of \$	7) Actual Spending 2003–04	8) Actual Spending 2004–05	9) Planned Spending 2005–06	10) Total Authorities 2005–06	11) Actual Spending 2005–06	12) Variance(s) Between 9 and 11
Business Line (BL) : Canadian Identity						
Total Grants	1.5	1.6				
Total Contributions	5.7	5.8				
Total Business Line (PCH)	510.5	628.6				
Program Activity (PA) : Participation in Community and Civic Life						
Total Grants			1.2	0.9	0.9	0.3
Total Contributions			11.4	12.0	11.9	(0.5)
Total Program Activity (PCH)			139.5	110.3	107.9	31.6
Total TPP (PCH)	869.6	997.9	1,145.6	1,019.1	1,015.7	129.9

13) Comment(s) on Variance(s):

The total variance of (\$0.2M) can be explained by funds received from Veteran's Affairs Canada to mark the 60th anniversary of the Second World War.

14) Significant Audit and Evaluation Findings and URL (s) to Last Audit and / or Evaluation An audit was completed on January 25, 2006.

In general, the transactions examined met departmental and Treasury Board requirements and criteria in terms of approval, documentation, and data entry.

Funds allocated through the program were granted in compliance with program objectives to legitimate recipients based on duly completed funding applications.

The following issues were raised: a) areas for improvement in internal procedures; b) the risk-based audit framework does not reflect program specifics; c) program efficiency in funding allocations; d) payments made too close to the funded event dates; and e) lack of appropriate follow-up on the evaluation reports completed by the recipients.

A Management Response was drafted to implement all audit recommendations on process and procedures related to the Program.

For additional information: http://www.pch.gc.ca/progs/em-cr/verif/2006/2006_02/2006_02_e.pdf